

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/727,441	Applicant(s) MUELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Ana M. Fortuna	Art Unit 1723	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ana M. Fortuna.

(2) James L. Brown.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 July 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Cancellation of claim 10, and amendment to claim 6 and 20 was proposed. Rejection under 103 based on 6,669,849, same co-inventor.*

**Claims discussed:**

*10, 6, 10, and 20.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*discussed above.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*reference 6, 669,849 was discussed with mr. Brown suggesting amendments to the indicated claims, however, an agreement was not reached.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)